

Gomathi P.B. Chella, Glory A. Mercy Kiruba, Mownisha (2025). *Rhetorical Agency: Language as a Driver of Social Change and Activism. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews*, 4(4), 124-127.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH & REVIEWS

journal homepage: www.ijmrr.online/index.php/home

RHETORICAL AGENCY: LANGUAGE AS A DRIVER OF SOCIAL CHANGE AND ACTIVISM

Dr. P.B. Chella Gomathi¹, A. Mercy Kiruba Glory², J. Mownisha³

^{1, 2, 3}Assistant Professor, Department of English, United College of Arts & Science,
Periyanaickenpalayam, Coimbatore, Gudalur, Tamil Nadu, India.

How to Cite the Article: Gomathi P.B. Chella, Glory A. Mercy Kiruba, Mownisha (2025). *Rhetorical Agency: Language as a Driver of Social Change and Activism. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews*, 4(4), 124-127.



<https://doi.org/10.56815/ijmrr.v4i4.2025.124-127>

Keywords	Abstract
Rhetorical agency, English literature, Language and activism, Social change, Digital communication, Public opinion, Protest literature, Voice to marginalized, Global tool.	This paper explores how language in English literature and communication becomes a powerful tool for social change and activism. Through speeches, poems, novels, plays, and digital expressions, writers and activists use words to question injustice, shape public opinion, and motivate people to act. The study shows that rhetorical agency – the power to use language effectively – has played a major role in historical and modern movements. By examining literary texts and contemporary activism, this paper highlights how English language and literature continue to influence society. The paper also discusses how literature fosters empathy, challenges dominant narratives, and gives voice to marginalized communities. Additionally, it explores the role of social media and digital platforms in expanding the reach of activist messages, making language a global tool for awareness and mobilization. Finally, the paper emphasizes that mastering rhetorical strategies in English empowers individuals to contribute meaningfully to societal transformation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Language is more than just a means of communication; it can be a tool for social change. English literature and public communication provide platforms for expressing ideas, challenging injustice, and motivating action. People use language to influence opinions, spread awareness, and inspire



[The work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution
Non Commercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Gomathi P.B. Chella, Glory A. Mercy Kiruba, Mownisha (2025). *Rhetorical Agency: Language as a Driver of Social Change and Activism. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews*, 4(4), 124-127.

movements. Rhetorical agency refers to the ability to use language effectively to achieve social or political goals. Understanding how words can create change helps us see literature and communication as instruments of activism.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Researchers have studied how language affects society. Some key observations include:

Protest Literature: Writers like George Orwell, Maya Angelou, and Arundhati Roy have used English literature to highlight injustice and oppression.

Speeches and Public Communication: Leaders such as Martin Luther King Jr. and Malala Yousafzai use rhetorical strategies to persuade and mobilize communities.

Digital Activism: Social media platforms amplify voices that were once marginalized, enabling global movements like #MeToo and #Black Lives Matter.

Table 1: Examples of Language Use in Social Movements

Medium	Example	Purpose	Outcome
Speech	Martin Luther King Jr.'s "I Have a Dream"	Inspire and mobilize	Civil rights activism
Poems	Maya Angelou's "Still I Rise"	Highlight injustice and resilience	Empower Marginalized voices
Novels	George Orwell's 1984	Critique oppressive systems	Raise public awareness
Social Media Campaigns	#MeToo	Spread awareness, create solidarity	Global conversation on harassment

3. ROLE OF RHETORICAL AGENCY

Rhetorical agency allows individuals to use language strategically to influence attitudes and behaviors. Key strategies include:

Ethos (Credibility): Establishing trust to strengthen messages.

Pathos (Emotions): Using emotions to connect with audience.

Logos (Logic): Using reason and evidence to convince people.

In general, emotional appeals often motivate people more than logic alone.

4. LITERATURE AS A CATALYST FOR SOCIAL CHANGE

English Literature gives voice to marginalized communities and challenges dominant narratives. Novels, poetry, and plays provide insight into social issues such as gender inequality, racial discrimination, and poverty. By fostering empathy, literature encourages readers to reflect on societal problems and take action.

Ex: "To kill a Mockingbird" by Harper Lee addresses racial injustice and moral courage.

Ex: "The God of Small Things" by Arundhati Roy explores caste discrimination and Social inequalities in India.



Gomathi P.B. Chella, Glory A. Mercy Kiruba, Mownisha (2025). *Rhetorical Agency: Language as a Driver of Social Change and Activism. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews*, 4(4), 124-127.

5. DIGITAL PLATFORMS AND MODERN ACTIVISM:

Today, activism is not limited to print or speech. Social media allows activists to reach millions instantly. Digital language creates awareness, sparks debates, and mobilizes people globally.

Ex: #BlackLivesMatter ☐ protests against racial injustice worldwide

Climate Activism campaigns by Greta Thunberg -> raise global awareness

Table 2: Comparison of Traditional vs Digital Activism

Feature	Traditional Activism	Digital Activism
Reach	Local/Regional	Global
Speed of Communication	Slow/Moderate (Print, Speeches)	Instant (Social Media)
Interaction	Limited audience feedback	Real-time engagement
Visibility of Marginalized voices	Moderate	High

6. GEN Z LANGUAGE INPUTS CONTRIBUTE TO SOCIAL TRANSFORMATION:

1. Short-form communication: Gen Z uses concise and catchy language (tweets, memes, captions) to spread messages quickly and efficiently.
2. Digital Slang and Hashtags: Creative slang (#CancelCulture, #ClimateStrike) allows them to brand movements and unify participants globally.
3. Visual Language Integration: Emojis, GIFs, and stickers enhance emotional impact, making activism more relatable and shareable.
4. Memes as Social Commentary: Memes simplify complex social issues, making awareness campaigns more viral and digestible.
5. Inclusive Language: Gen Z prioritizes gender-neutral and identity-conscious terms, challenging traditional norms and promoting social inclusivity.
6. Directness and Authenticity: Their language is often blunt and unfiltered, which resonates with peers and pressures institutions to respond.
7. Storytelling via Social Media: Platforms like TikTok and Instagram are used to narrate personal experiences that highlight societal issues.
8. Call-to-Action Language: Short, motivating phrases (“Strike for Climate”, “Vote Now”) encourage immediate social participation.
9. Global Reach: Digital fluency allows their language and activism to cross borders, influencing international movements.
10. Hybrid Language Forms: Combining text, video, and audio, Gen Z creates multi-modal messages that are more engaging and persuasive than traditional forms.

7. CONCLUSION

Language is a powerful tool for social change. Through literature, speeches, and digital communication, individuals and groups can challenge injustice, give voice to marginalized communities, and motivate society to act. Understanding and mastering rhetorical strategies in



Gomathi P.B. Chella, Glory A. Mercy Kiruba, Mownisha (2025). *Rhetorical Agency: Language as a Driver of Social Change and Activism. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews*, 4(4), 124-127.

English enhances the potential to contribute meaningfully to activism. In a connected world, the ability to use words effectively is not just a skill – it is a responsibility for societal transformation.

8. AUTHOR(S) CONTRIBUTION

The writers affirm that they have no connections to, or engagement with, any group or body that provides financial or non-financial assistance for the topics or resources covered in this manuscript.

9. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

10. PLAGIARISM POLICY

All authors declare that any kind of violation of plagiarism, copyright and ethical matters will take care by all authors. Journal and editors are not liable for aforesaid matters.

11. SOURCES OF FUNDING

The authors received no financial aid to support for the research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Angelou, Maya, and *Still I Rise*, Random House, 1978.
- [2] Arundhati Roy, *the God of Small Things*, IndiaInk, 1997.
- [3] Orwell, George, *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, Secker & Warburg, 1949.
- [4] King, Martin Luther Jr. *I Have a Dream- Speech delivered at the March on Washington for Jobs and Freedom*, 28 August 1963.
- [5] Tufekci, Zeynep, *Twitter and Tear Gas: The Power and Fragility of Networked Protest*, Yale University Press, 2017.
- [6] Jasper, James M, *the Art of Moral Protest: Culture, Biography, and Creativity in Social Movements*, University of Chicago Press, 1997.
- [7] Clark, Rosemary, *Hope in a Hashtag: The Discursive Activism of ‘Why I Stayed’*, *Feminist Media Studies*, vol. 16, no. 5, 2016, pp. 788–804.
- [8] Castells, Manuel, *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age*, Polity Press, 2012.
- [9] Burke, Kenneth, *a Rhetoric of Motives*, University of California Press, 1950.
- [10] Ratcliffe, Krista, *Rhetorical Listening: Identification, Gender, Whiteness*, Southern Illinois University Press, 2005.

