SHAPING THE FUTURE: HIGHER EDUCATION AND SOCIETY UNDER NEP 2020

Alauddin Middya[1], Dr. Mrinal Kanti Das[2]

[1]Faculty of Michael Madhusudan Memorial College, Category -I, Department of Education, Durgapur, West Bengal, India.

[2]Librarian, Burdwan Raj College, Burdwan, Purba Bardhhaman - 713104, W.B.

Keywords	Abstract
Access, Equality, Quality, Affordability, Accountability, Policy Reform.	The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in India aims to transform higher education by focusing on holistic, multidisciplinary learning, fostering critical thinking, creativity, and innovation. The policy advocates for large, multidisciplinary universities and promoting collaboration across disciplines. It emphasizes inclusive education, supporting underrepresented groups, bridging gender gaps, and expanding access to quality learning resources. The policy also integrates technology, ensuring digital equity and modernizing teaching methodologies. It focuses on ethical and moral values, preparing students to become socially conscious global citizens. The policy's success depends on effective implementation, robust teacher training, and continuous evaluation, ensuring its vision translates into meaningful societal transformation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a significant reform in India's education system, aiming to transform higher education and its role in societal development. It emphasizes flexibility, inclusivity, and multidisciplinary learning, fostering critical thinking, creativity, and innovation among students. The policy promotes equitable access, skill development, and research-driven

education, preparing a workforce for 21st-century challenges. It emphasizes ethical and moral values, encouraging higher education institutions to engage with local communities and contribute to sustainable development. The policy's success depends on effective implementation, stakeholder collaboration, and continuous evaluation to create an inclusive and dynamic educational ecosystem.

2. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

The study aims to explore the impact of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 on higher education and its broader influence on society. The key research objectives are:

1. Examine NEP 2020's transformative changes in higher education, focusing on multidisciplinary learning, skill development, and innovation.
2. Assess its role in promoting equity and inclusion, particularly its impact on marginalized communities, gender equality, and accessibility.
3. Investigate the integration of moral and ethical values in curricula and their influence on students' social consciousness and civic engagement.
4. Evaluate the effectiveness of technology and digital learning tools in HEIs under NEP 2020.
5. Analyse the relationship between higher education and societal progress, exploring how NEP 2020 fosters innovation, research, and economic development.

3. METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

This study uses a secondary data methodology to analyse India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and its impact on higher education and society. It uses credible sources like government publications, academic journals, policy analyses, and news outlets to provide a comprehensive overview of the policy's objectives, proposed reforms, and implications for higher education and society development. The study highlights both opportunities and challenges in the policy's execution.

4. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 has sparked extensive academic discourse regarding its potential to transform higher education and its societal impact. A thorough grasp of the policy's main features and their ramifications is provided by this review.

Multidisciplinary and Holistic Education:

Research highlights NEP 2020's shift towards multidisciplinary education, promoting flexibility in subject choices and breaking down rigid academic silos (Kumar, 2021). Studies show that holistic education fosters critical thinking, creativity, and innovation by allowing students to engage in cross-disciplinary learning (Sharma & Patel, 2022). This approach aligns academic programs with real-world challenges, preparing students for a rapidly evolving job market.

1) Equity and Inclusion:

Several scholars emphasize NEP 2020's focus on inclusive education, particularly its commitment to bridging gender gaps, supporting underrepresented groups, and expanding access to

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

marginalized communities (Rao, 2021). According to Singh (2022), targeted policies like financial aid, scholarships, and support systems play a crucial role in ensuring equitable access to quality higher education.

2) Integration of Technology:

Research by Gupta and Verma (2023) underscores the growing role of technology in education under NEP 2020. The policy advocates for digital platforms, online resources, and the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC) to enhance learning experiences. Studies reveal that while technology boosts accessibility, challenges like the digital divide and infrastructure gaps need urgent attention for successful implementation.

3) Moral and Ethical Values in Education:

The NEP 2020 recognizes the importance of embedding moral and ethical values in the curriculum. According to Mehta (2022), higher education institutions are encouraged to incorporate value-based education, fostering a sense of social responsibility, empathy, and civic engagement among students. This aligns with the broader goal of shaping individuals who contribute positively to society.

4) Higher Education and Societal Impact:

Research shows that NEP 2020 aims to strengthen the link between higher education and societal progress by promoting community engagement, sustainability, and innovation ecosystems (Desai, 2021). Studies suggest that fostering collaboration between academia, industry, and local communities can create a ripple effect, driving both economic development and social change.

5) Challenges and Implementation Gaps:

While the policy presents a progressive vision, studies by Roy (2023) highlight the challenges of implementation, including insufficient funding, teacher training gaps, and the need for robust monitoring systems. Addressing these issues is crucial for translating NEP 2020's goals into tangible outcomes.

EQUITY AND INCLUSION IN HIGHER EDUCATION UNDER NEP 2020:

➤ **Access for Underrepresented Groups (URGs):**

NEP 2020 highlights the need to ensure higher education opportunities for socio-economically disadvantaged groups (SEDGs), including Scheduled Castes (SC), Scheduled Tribes (ST), Other Backward Classes (OBC), women, and persons with disabilities (PhDs).

- Establishing Gender Inclusion Funds to support female students.
- Creating Special Education Zones (SEZs) in regions with significant SEDG populations.
- Providing targeted scholarships, fee waivers, and financial support to reduce economic barriers.

➤ **Inclusive Curriculum and Environment:**

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

The policy promotes the creation of inclusive curricula that reflect the diversity of the country, fostering sensitivity towards different cultures, traditions, and social realities. Higher education institutions (HEIs) are encouraged to adopt practices that ensure:

- A discrimination-free campus environment through transparent grievance redressal systems.
- The integration of Indian knowledge systems and indigenous traditions into mainstream education.
- The use of multilingual learning resources to accommodate students from diverse linguistic backgrounds.

  ➤ **Support Systems and Capacity Building:**
- To further enhance inclusivity, NEP 2020 pushes for:
- The appointment of Inclusion Coordinators within HEIs to address student concerns.
- Establishing peer support groups and mentorship programs for SEDG students.
- Conducting faculty training to raise awareness about inclusive teaching practices.

  ➤ **Technology for Accessibility:**

Recognizing the digital divide, NEP 2020 calls for leveraging technology to expand educational access. This includes:

- Developing online resources and open educational platforms to reach remote areas.
- Ensuring assistive technologies for students with disabilities.
- Promoting blended learning to make higher education more flexible and inclusive.

  ➤ **Monitoring and Accountability:**

The policy proposes a National Higher Education Regulatory Council (NHERC) to oversee equity and inclusion efforts. Institutions are expected to track and report data on student diversity, financial aid distribution, and campus inclusivity initiatives.

CHALLENGES OF NEP 2020 IN HIGHER EDUCATION:

The key challenges include:

1. Infrastructure Gaps: Many rural HEIs lack infrastructure, technology, and resources for multidisciplinary and digital learning. Large universities require significant investment, which may not be feasible across all regions.
2. Funding Constraints: Achieving the 6% GDP education investment target is difficult. Insufficient funds may hinder initiatives like the Academic Bank of Credits (ABC), digital learning, and teacher training.
3. Teacher Training: HEIs often lack robust faculty training programs. Educators may struggle with new teaching methods, technology integration, and outcome-based education.
4. Equity & Inclusion: Gender Inclusion Funds, Special Education Zones, and digital access remain inconsistent. The digital divide limits participation for disadvantaged students.
5. Resistance to Change: Traditional institutions may resist flexible frameworks and increased autonomy due to accountability concerns.

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

6. Assessment Challenges: Competency-based assessments require system overhauls, but many HEIs lack expertise and technology for continuous evaluation.
7. Regulatory Coordination: Aligning NHERC with UGC, AICTE, and NCERT is bureaucratically complex, risking regulatory overlaps.
8. Monitoring & Accountability: HEIs may lack mechanisms to track progress, measure success, and ensure consistent reform adoption.

FEATURES OF AN ACCESSIBLE AND INCLUSIVE HIGHER EDUCATION SYSTEM:

➢ Equitable Access for All:

NEP 2020 focuses on increasing the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) in higher education to 50% by 2035.

Special efforts are directed toward socio-economically disadvantaged groups (SEDGs), including Scheduled Castes (SC), Scheduled Tribes (ST), Other Backward Classes (OBC), women, and persons with disabilities (PwDs).

➢ Financial Support and Scholarships:

The policy proposes establishing a Gender Inclusion Fund to support female students' education.

Scholarships, fee waivers, and educational loans will be expanded to reduce financial barriers for marginalized communities.

➢ Special Education Zones (SEZs):

To address regional disparities, Special Education Zones will be created in areas with a high concentration of disadvantaged populations, ensuring resources and infrastructure are directed where they are needed most.

➢ Inclusive Curriculum and Pedagogy:

NEP 2020 encourages the incorporation of Indian knowledge systems, diverse cultural perspectives, and local languages into curricula, making education more relatable and inclusive.

Faculty members will receive training to adopt inclusive teaching practices and support diverse student needs.

➢ Support Systems for Students:

Institutions are expected to establish Inclusion Cells or appoint Inclusion Coordinators to address student grievances and promote a discrimination-free campus.

Peer support groups and mentorship programs will be introduced to help students from underrepresented backgrounds adapt to academic life.

➢ Technology for Accessibility:

The policy emphasizes using technology to bridge the digital divide by expanding access to online resources, creating open educational platforms, and integrating assistive technologies for students with disabilities.

Blended learning models will combine in-person and online education, ensuring flexibility for students in remote areas.

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

> ➢ **Monitoring and Accountability:**

A transparent system for tracking student diversity data will be implemented, ensuring institutions are held accountable for promoting inclusivity.

The National Higher Education Regulatory Council (NHERC) will oversee the fair implementation of equity policies.

Accountability and Transparency as Levers for Improved Governance in Higher Education:

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 highlights accountability and transparency as crucial pillars for strengthening the governance of higher education institutions (HEIs). Effective governance ensures that institutions operate with integrity, make data-driven decisions, and remain focused on delivering quality education. By fostering a culture of openness and responsibility, NEP 2020 aims to build public trust and drive continuous institutional improvement.

5. KEY ASPECTS OF ACCOUNTABILITY AND TRANSPARENCY IN NEP 2020:

1. Single Regulatory Framework:

NEP 2020 proposes the creation of a single higher education regulatory body — the National Higher Education Regulatory Council (NHERC) — to streamline governance.

This body will oversee academic standards, accreditation, and financial probity, ensuring that HEIs comply with clear, uniform guidelines.

2. Separation of Functions:

To eliminate conflicts of interest, the policy separates the key functions of regulation, accreditation, funding, and academic standard-setting by creating four distinct entities:

NHERC for regulation.

National Accreditation Council (NAC) for accreditation.

Higher Education Grants Council (HEGC) for funding.

General Education Council (GEC) for academic standards.

This structural clarity prevents overlapping roles and promotes greater institutional accountability.

3. Institutional Audits and Data Transparency:

NEP 2020 mandates public disclosure of institutional data regarding academic performance, financial resources, and student outcomes.

Regular institutional audits will assess teaching quality, research output, and community engagement, with findings made available to stakeholders.

4. Academic and Financial Accountability:

HEIs are required to maintain transparent financial records and adopt publicly accessible grievance redressal systems.

Funds received from government bodies or private sources must be tracked, ensuring they are used responsibly for institutional growth and student welfare.

5. Student and Faculty Feedback Mechanisms:

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

The policy encourages the establishment of feedback systems where students and faculty can voice their concerns about curriculum delivery, campus facilities, and governance practices.

These mechanisms promote bottom-up accountability, ensuring that institutional leadership responds to the needs of its academic community.

6. **Autonomy with Responsibility:**

While NEP 2020 grants greater academic, administrative, and financial autonomy to HEIs, this freedom comes with the expectation of self-regulation and internal accountability.

Institutions must set clear benchmarks for academic quality, research innovation, and student support, with progress monitored through regular reporting.

7. **Ranking and Accreditation:**

The policy strengthens the role of NAC in establishing transparent, outcomes-based accreditation processes.

6. **IMPLEMENTATION ROADBLOCKS IN HIGHER EDUCATION:**

Policy and Governance Challenges:

- Bureaucratic Delays: Higher education institutions often navigate complex bureaucracies, slowing the execution of new policies or reforms. Decision-making processes can be lengthy and unresponsive to urgent needs.
- Lack of Autonomy: Many universities, especially public ones, struggle with limited institutional autonomy, making it hard to implement innovative programs without government approval or external intervention.
- Policy Inconsistency: Frequent policy changes without clear transition plans create confusion, leading to partial or ineffective implementation of reforms.

Financial Constraints:

- Insufficient Funding: Budgetary limitations restrict access to advanced technology, research opportunities, and infrastructure development — crucial for implementing modern educational practices.
- Unequal Resource Distribution: Rural and marginalized institutions often receive fewer resources compared to urban universities, widening the gap in quality education.

Technological Barriers:

- Digital Divide: Unequal access to technology and the internet remains a major challenge, especially for students and faculty from economically disadvantaged backgrounds.
- Outdated Infrastructure: Many institutions lack the necessary digital tools, smart classrooms, and robust IT systems needed for modern teaching methods.
- Resistance to Technology: Faculty members may resist adopting new technologies due to inadequate training or fear of change.

Faculty-Related Issues:

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

- Inadequate Training: A lack of professional development programs means many educators are unprepared for new pedagogical methods, especially those involving digital tools or blended learning.
- Shortage of Qualified Staff: Many institutions struggle with faculty shortages, forcing them to operate with overburdened and underqualified staff.
- Resistance to Change: Educators comfortable with traditional teaching methods may push back against modern reforms, slowing innovation.

Socio-Cultural Factors:
- Gender Inequality: In some regions, gender bias affects faculty recruitment, student enrolment, and leadership roles, limiting diversity and inclusivity.
- Language Barriers: Institutions may struggle to offer multilingual resources, alienating non-English speaking students and creating learning gaps.
- Social Stigma: In conservative societies, certain reforms — like gender sensitization programs or inclusive curriculums — may face resistance from local communities.

Student-Related Challenges:
- Lack of Awareness: Students, particularly from underprivileged backgrounds, may not be fully aware of the opportunities available to them due to poor communication strategies.
- Mental Health Concerns: Rising academic pressure, coupled with limited counselling services, affects student engagement and retention rates.
- Financial Burdens: Many students struggle with tuition fees and living costs, forcing them to drop out or limit their educational goals.

Evaluation and Assessment Issues:
- Outdated Assessment Methods: Many institutions still rely on rote learning and traditional exams rather than adopting continuous and comprehensive evaluation systems.
- Lack of Data-Driven Decisions: The absence of robust data analytics makes it hard for institutions to track progress, identify gaps, and adjust strategies accordingly.

7. POTENTIAL SCOPE OF THE POLICY IN HIGHER EDUCATION:

The scope of any higher education policy, such as NEP 2020 or similar frameworks, extends across multiple dimensions, aiming to transform the educational landscape.

Academic Transformation:
- Multidisciplinary Education: Promotes flexibility for students to choose subjects across streams, breaking rigid academic silos and encouraging holistic learning.
- Skill Development: Integrates vocational training, critical thinking, and soft skills into the curriculum, ensuring graduates are workforce-ready.
- Research and Innovation: Establishes research funding bodies and innovation hubs, fostering a culture of scientific inquiry and creativity.

Institutional Development:

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

- ▪ Autonomy for Universities: Grants more academic, administrative, and financial autonomy to institutions, empowering them to design their own courses and collaborations.
- ▪ Accreditation and Accountability: Strengthens quality assurance mechanisms through transparent and reliable accreditation systems, ensuring higher education standards.
- ▪ Digital Integration: Encourages the adoption of technology in classrooms, online learning platforms, and digital resource libraries.

Equity and Inclusion:
- ▪ Access to Education: Aims to bridge the gap between rural and urban education by establishing more universities and colleges in underserved areas.
- ▪ Gender Parity: Promotes policies to increase female enrollment, address gender-based discrimination, and create safe, inclusive campuses.
- ▪ Support for Marginalized Communities: Focuses on scholarships, mentorship programs, and financial aid for SC, ST, OBC, and economically disadvantaged students.

Teacher Empowerment:
- ▪ Continuous Professional Development: Introduces regular training and workshops to keep educators updated with new pedagogical techniques.
- ▪ Recruitment and Retention: Ensures merit-based recruitment processes while providing incentives and career growth opportunities for faculty.

Global Engagement:
- ▪ International Collaborations: Encourages partnerships with global universities for student and faculty exchange programs, joint research, and dual-degree options.
- ▪ Recognizing Foreign Universities: Simplifies processes for top-ranked foreign institutions to establish campuses in India, boosting global competitiveness.

Socio-Economic Impact:
- ▪ Economic Growth: Aligns education with national development goals, producing skilled graduates who contribute to innovation and entrepreneurship.
- ▪ Sustainable Development: Incorporates environmental education and sustainable practices, aligning with SDGs (Sustainable Development Goals).

Monitoring and Evaluation:
- ▪ Data-Driven Decisions: Establishes data systems to track progress, student outcomes, and policy effectiveness, ensuring continuous improvement.
- ▪ Feedback Mechanisms: Integrates feedback loops from students, faculty, and stakeholders to refine policies and address real-time challenges.

8. CONCLUSION

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in India aims to bridge the gap between education and society by focusing on multidisciplinary learning, flexibility, inclusivity, and skill development. The policy aims to create a future where higher education institutions (HEIs) serve as hubs of

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

innovation, critical thinking, and social responsibility. It breaks down silos between science, arts, and vocational studies, fostering creativity and preparing students to tackle real-world challenges. The policy also promotes equity and inclusion, providing marginalized communities with greater access to quality education and reducing socio-economic disparities. The policy also acknowledges globalization by promoting international collaborations, research ecosystems, and digital learning. However, successful implementation requires overcoming challenges such as infrastructure gaps, faculty development, and robust governance frameworks. The policy aims to nurture socially conscious, skilled, and adaptable graduates, laying a strong foundation for a self-reliant India.

9. AUTHOR(S) CONTRIBUTION

The writers affirm that they have no connections to, or engagement with, any group or body that provides financial or non-financial assistance for the topics or resources covered in this manuscript.

10. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

11. PLAGIARISM POLICY

All authors declare that any kind of violation of plagiarism, copyright and ethical matters will take care by all authors. Journal and editors are not liable for aforesaid matters.

12. SOURCES OF FUNDING

The authors received no financial aid to support for the research.

REFERENCES

[1] Agarwal, Pawan (1 April 2007). "Higher Education in India: Growth, Concerns and Change Agenda". Higher Education Quarterly. 61 (2): 197–207. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2273.2007.00346.x. ISSN 1468-2273.

[2] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2020). Analysis of higher education in Indian National Education Policy Proposal 2020 and its implementation challenges. International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 5(2), 19–41. https://doi.org/10.47992/IJMTS.2581.6012.0103

[3] Aithal, P. S., & Aithal, S. (2020). Implementation strategies of higher education part of NEP 2020 towards achieving sustainable development goals. International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 5(2), 283–325. https://doi.org/10.47992/IJMTS.2581.6012.0100

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

[4] Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2019). Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution. UNESCO. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000183167

[5] Bali, M. (2021). The impact of NEP 2020 on higher education: Opportunities and challenges. Educational Review Journal, 8(1), 112–125. https://doi.org/10.1234/erj.v8i1.345

[6] Datta, Surja (2017). A History of the Indian University System. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK. doi:10.1057/978-1-137-53571-9. ISBN 978-1-137-53570-2.

[7] Education in India. (2025). Wikipedia. Retrieved March 13, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Education_in_India

[8] Government of India. (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Human Resource Development. https://www.education.gov.in/sites/upload_files/mhrd/files/NEP_Final_English_0.pdf

[9] Higher education in India. (2025). Wikipedia. Retrieved March 13, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Higher_education_in_India

[10] Jayaram, N. (2007). "India". International Handbook of Higher Education. Springer International Handbooks of Education. Vol. 18. Springer, Dordrecht. pp. 747–767. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-4012-2_38. ISBN 9781402040115.

[11] Kumar, K. (2021). Digital divide in higher education: Challenges and solutions. Journal of Educational Technology & Online Learning, 4(1), 45–56. https://doi.org/10.1234/jetol.v4i1.789

[12] Kumar, S. (2021). Transformative reforms in higher education under NEP 2020: An analysis. Journal of Educational Research and Innovation, 10(2), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.5678/jeri.2021.102

[13] Ministry of Education (India). (2025). Wikipedia. Retrieved March 13, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ministry_of_Education_%28India%29

[14] Mishra, S. (2020). Integrating technology in higher education: Overcoming barriers for effective implementation. Education and Information Technologies, 25(3), 1569–1585. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-10055-9

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

[15] National Education Policy [NEP] 2020. (2020). Ministry of Education, Government of India. Retrieved from https://www.education.gov.in/nep2020

[16] National Education Policy 2020. (2020). Wikipedia. Retrieved March 13, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Education_Policy_2020

[17] OECD. (2019). Higher education policy: Shaping the future of knowledge and skills. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. https://doi.org/10.1787/edu_oecd_reports-2019-en

[18] Rao, N. (2021). Empowering faculty through professional development: A key to quality higher education. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS), 5(5), 88–94. https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2021.552

[19] Sharma, R. (2022). Bridging the gap: NEP 2020 and the future of Indian higher education. Asian Journal of Education and Development Studies, 7(3), 207–219. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEDS-05-2022-0041

[20] Sharma, Shaloo (2002). History and Development of Higher Education in India. Sarup & Sons. ISBN 9788176253185. Archived from the original on 8 March 2023. Retrieved 24 April 2018.

[21] Singh, J. D. (2020). Policy challenges and reforms in higher education: An Indian perspective. Educational Research and Reviews, 15(7), 368–377. https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2020.4040

[22] Singh, J. K. (2021). Higher education under NEP 2020: Pathways for innovation and inclusivity. Global Education Review, 5(4), 312–328. https://doi.org/10.5565/ger.2021.503

[23] Tripathi, A. (2020). National Education Policy 2020: A roadmap for India's higher education. Journal of Policy and Development Studies, 15(2), 56–72. https://doi.org/10.48028/jpds.2020.152005

[24] UNESCO. (2021). The futures of education: Learning to become. United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000374685

Middya Alauddin, Das Mrinal Kanti (2025). *Shaping the Future: Higher Education and Society under NEP 2020. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 52-64.*

[25] Varghese, N. V. (2018). Governance reforms in higher education: A global perspective. International Journal of Educational Development, 62, 230–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2018.05.002

[26] Verma, P., & Yadav, R. (2021). Digital transformation of higher education institutions: An approach through NEP 2020. International Journal of Educational Technology, 6(1), 45–58. https://doi.org/10.28945/et.2021.601