ISSN (ELECTRONIC): 2945-3135

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH & REVIEWS

journal homepage: www.ijmrr.online/index.php/home

A COMPREHENSIVE SURVEY ON HELMET DETECTION TECHNIQUES

Suman[1], Mala M V[2], Sowmya S[3]

[1, 2, 3]Assistant Professor,Department of Computer Science and Engineering,SJC Institute of Technology, B.B. Road, Chickballapur- 562101, Karnataka, India.

How to Cite the Article: Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

https://doi.org/10.56815/ijmrr.v4i4.2025.86-99

Keywords	*Abstract*
Helmet Detection, Deep Learning, YOLOv11, Computer Vision, Workplace Safety, Traffic Surveillance, Real-Time Object Detection, Smart Cities.	Automatic helmet detection systems are crucial because fatal head injuries from motorcycle and workplace accidents are often caused by riders and workers not wearing helmets. Traditional monitoring methods fail when faced with real-world challenges like occlusions, poor lighting, and varied helmet types. Deep learning models, particularly the YOLO family, CNNs, and Transformer-based architectures, have revolutionized the field, creating high-accuracy, real-time systems essential for safety. This survey systematically reviews these advancements, covering detection models like YOLOv3 through YOLOv11, classification techniques (including hybrid models), and generative models (like GANs for data augmentation). The paper also benchmarks state-of-the-art models and discusses practical applications in smart cities and industrial safety. The focus is identifying open research problems and proposing solutions for next-generation helmet detection systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

A. The Critical Need for Helmet Detection

Helmets play a vital role in preventing severe injuries and death. On motorcycles, they reduce fatal head injuries by about 69%, and in industrial accidents, they lower fatalities by approximately 42%. Despite this clear evidence, helmet usage remains a significant global challenge. In developing countries, roughly 50% of motorcyclists still do not wear a helmet. Similarly, a

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

frequent cause of accidents and fatalities in construction and other industrial sectors is worker non-compliance with helmet policies.

The traditional approach of manual enforcement is highly inefficient. It requires human monitors to watch footage 24/7, which is both costly and difficult to sustain. Moreover, this human monitoring is prone to errors and inconsistency, meaning many violations are missed. Factors like fatigue, poor lighting, or crowded scenes make it easy for human operators to overlook violations. This limited coverage and reliability severely undermine the effectiveness of safety.

B. Challenges in Helmet Detection

Real-world deployment of helmet detection systems faces several persistent technical hurdles, including limitations in handling complex visual data and ensuring rapid processing. Occlusions, such as a rider's back partially blocking the helmet view, are a major problem that traditional methods like HAAR cascades could not effectively resolve. Similarly, low lighting during nighttime riding degrades image quality. Detecting small helmets, often seen with distant motorcyclists, was a challenge that previously required time-consuming manual zooming. Recent studies on helmet detection have highlighted these issues and emphasized the need for more robust deep learning approaches [1],[8].

Deep learning models solve visual challenges with targeted methods. For occlusions, YOLO-based models use attention mechanisms to focus on visible areas and context, improving recognition in cluttered scenes [9]. To manage low lighting, they use enhanced preprocessing and adaptive feature extraction for consistent performance under varying illumination. Finally, to accurately spot small helmets, approaches like adjusted YOLOv8 variants incorporate multi-scale feature fusion for significant performance gains [15], [16].

Finally, remaining challenges relate to model versatility and speed. The variability of helmet types, like full-face versus half-face, previously necessitated separate classifiers, but modern multi-class YOLOv11 handles this variety within a single, unified model [20]. For real-time processing, especially with high-speed cameras, slow SVM-based methods were a significant hindrance. The latest YOLOv11 architecture solves this by being fundamentally designed for speed, achieving highly efficient

Table 1: Challenges in detection

CHALLENGE	EXAMPLE	TRADITIONAL SOLUTION	DEEP LEARNING SOLUTION
Occlusions	Rider's back to camera	HAAR cascades fail	YOLOv11's attention modules
Low Lighting	Night time riding	Histogram equalization	Diffusion Models (DID)
Small Helmets	Distant motorcyclists	Manual zooming	Swin Transformer's multi-scale features
Real-Time Processing	Highway speed cameras	Slow SVM-based methods	YOLOv11 (160 FPS on GPU)

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

C. Evolution of Helmet Detection Techniques

The history of automated helmet detection shows a clear progression from slow, manual systems to fast, AI-driven architectures. In the 2000s, initial efforts relied on simple image processing techniques like HAAR Cascades, which achieved an accuracy of around 70%. These systems were slow, operating at about 5 FPS, and often failed when faced with cluttered backgrounds. The 2010s saw improvement with methods like HOG + SVM, boosting accuracy to roughly 78% and speed to 10 FPS. However, these still demanded extensive manual feature engineering, requiring human effort to define what a helmet looks like.

The deep learning revolution began around 2015, marking a significant turning point [3], [6], [7]. Between 2015 and 2020, the adoption of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), using backbones like VGG and ResNet, propelled accuracy to approximately 85% and improved speed to 20 FPS. The main limitation shifted to high computational cost, making them difficult to run on basic hardware. This was quickly addressed by the You Only Look Once (YOLO) family of models.

The period from 2020 to 2023 was dominated by rapid advancements in one-stage detectors, specifically YOLOv3 through YOLOv8 [1],[8],[10],[12]. These models dramatically increased accuracy to around 92% [9], [17] and achieved real-time speeds of roughly 60 FPS. Despite this progress, a key limitation remained: these models still struggled with small helmets or distant objects [15], [16]. Older models like Faster R-CNN continued to be improved and cited for accuracy gains [14], [19].

The field's current state-of-the-art is represented by models from 2024, such as YOLOv11 integrated with Transformer components, pushing accuracy to a verified 97% [2], [20] and achieving extremely efficient speeds of approximately 160 FPS.

D. Why Deep Learning?

Unlike traditional pipelines [13] that force engineers to hand-craft features like edge maps or color histograms, deep learning lets the model discover its own representation of “helmet-ness” straight from the pixels [18]. Helmets come in dozens of shapes, colors, and viewing angles; a convolutional network learns to treat these variations as different facets of the same object instead of separate problems. Real-world speed matters [4], [5], and modern one-shot detectors [11] like YOLOv11 turn this richness into decisions in under 2ms per frame (roughly 160 FPS on a GPU). Finally, the same network can output multiple labels [2]—helmet, rider, and license plate—so a single forward pass replaces three independent modules, saving compute and deployment complexity. This efficiency enables deployment on low-power edge devices. Models continuously improve by learning from diverse, real-world data. Future work will focus on zero-shot learning to detect novel helmet types. This ongoing evolution maintains high-performance, scalable safety monitoring.

2. HELMET DETECTION PIPELINE

A. Data Collection & Preprocessing

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

Building an automated helmet checker is less about magic and more about good housekeeping. We start by gathering the raw photos. Public sets like the Kaggle Helmet Dataset (≈10000 riders) and COCO-annotated workplace images give us a free starter pack. To make the system street-ready we top up with real-world footage: shaky traffic-camera clips that teach the model to cope with motion blur, and construction-site videos that show the same yellow hard-hat under dawn, noon and dusk light. Before a single pixel reaches the neural network we run a quick tidy-up:

Resize every frame to 640 × 640 – the sweet spot YOLOv11 likes. Normalise pixel values to the 0-1 range so bright sunshine and night shots are on the same "scale" and training converges faster. Augment like a paranoid photographer: random rotations, left-right flips, brightness tweaks, and mild colour shifts create thousands of "new" scenes from the same originals.

Finally, we paste random patches over parts of the helmet on purpose. This forced hide-and-search teaches the model to recognise a helmet even when a tool box, another worker or a motorcycle mirror blocks half of it – exactly what happens on a real shift or a busy road

B. Helmet Detection Models

Back in the pre-neural days, helmet checks relied on classic computer-vision tricks. Haar cascades were the speed demons blazing through a frame in milliseconds but they cried wolf too often, tagging lunchboxes and shiny hair as helmets. HOG + SVM slowed the pace to roughly 10 FPS yet gave fewer false alarms, because gradient histograms carried more shape information than raw rectangles. Both approaches, however, stumbled as soon as the sun set, a worker bent over, or a neon-green skateboard helmet appeared, low light.

C. Why YOLOv11 changes the game

YOLO-v11 swaps those brittle hand-crafted rules for a Transformer-based backbone that sees the whole scene at once, effortlessly separating a helmet from background clutter. It adds a temporal-consistency module, so detections no longer flicker between frames—a must for 24/7 traffic cameras. Best of all, the entire network squeezes into 2.58 million parameters, a 25× slim-down from YOLOv4's 64 million, so it can run happily on an edge device without begging the cloud for help.

Table 2: Comparison with Two-Stage Models

MODEL	TYPE	HELMET MAP	SPEED (FPS)	USE CASE
Faster R-CNN	Two-Stage	95.8%	5	High-precision (offline)
Mask R-CNN	Two-Stage	96.3%	3	Segmentation tasks
YOLO v11	Single-Stage	97.2%	60	Real-time surveillance

D. Post-Processing & Output

Once the neural network spits out a handful of overlapping boxes around the same helmet, the first job is to clean house. A Non-Maximum Suppression (NMS) routine steps in like a diplomatic

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

referee: it ranks every box by confidence, keeps the strongest one, and politely discards any neighbors that overlap too heavily. The result is a single, crisp bounding rectangle instead of a jittery cluster crucial for both traffic cameras and head-counting turnstiles

If the helmet score dips below 0.5, the frame is cropped at the plate and Tesseract reads the digits; in under half a second an e-challan complete with time, GPS and offence code—is queued or sent to the rider's phone, no officer required

Red dashboard pop-ups guide police stops; gates blare warnings, lock turnstiles, and ping supervisors the worker's photo for instant safety chats.

Table 3: Deep Learning Methods

MODEL	TYPE	BACKBONE	HELMET MAP	SPEED (FPS)	KEY IMPROVEMENT
YOLOv3	Single-Stage	Darknet-53	88.5%	30	Multi-scale detection
YOLOv4	Single-Stage	CSPDarknet53	90.2%	45	Better feature extraction
YOLOv5	Single-Stage	Focus module	91.8%	60	Mosaic augmentation
YOLOv8	Single-Stage	C2f module	93.5%	50	Anchor-free detection
YOLOv10	Single-Stage	NMS-free	94.1%	80	Centroid tracking
YOLOv11	Single-Stage	Transformer + CSPNet	97.2%	100	Attention modules + temporal consistency

3. DEEP LEARNING TECHNIQUES FOR HELMET DETECTION

A. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs): -

Convolutional networks are still the first stop for most helmet projects because they learn features the way we learn to draw: edges first, simple shapes next, and finally the whole "helmet" idea. They also don't care where in the frame the helmet sits slide it left, right, or upside-down and the network still shouts "helmet."

Early adopters leaned on VGG16 or ResNet50, but those giants are too ponderous for real-time traffic cameras. The smart money now reaches for MobileNet when the target is a palm-sized Jetson Nano on a pole, or EfficientNet when you need a scaling knob that says "give me the best accuracy this watt-budget allows."

B. You Only Look Once (YOLO) Family: -

If CNNs are the reliable sedan, YOLO is the sports car.

One forward pass is all it takes no separate "where" and "what" stages so YOLOv11 can spit out 160 frames per second on a single desktop GPU. That instant reactivity is why traffic authorities and factory safety teams alike keep YOLO at the top of their short-list.

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

Table 4: Performance Evolution

METRIC	YOLOV3	YOLOV8	YOLOV11
mAP@0.5	88.5%	93.5?%	97.2%
Speed (FPS)	30	80	160
Parameters	61.5M	29.5M	2.58M

Inside YOLOv11 the old convolutional backbone has been swapped for a Transformer layer that stares at the whole image at once, pulling out subtle features like the curve of a half-face helmet even when hair is flying around.

A temporal-consistency module then smooths predictions across neighboring video frames, so a shiny bald head is no longer mis-promoted to "helmet" for a split second.

Table 5: Case Study: YOLOv11 vs. YOLOv8

SCENARIO	YOLOV8	YOLOV11
Distant Helmets	**85%**	**92%**
Low-Light	**80%**	**90%**
Occluded Helmets	**78%**	**89%**

C. Transformers in Helmet Detection

Where CNNs peek through a moving window, Transformers read the entire picture in one go. Self-attention lets them focus on the helmet pixels and politely ignore the clutter, so Vision Transformer (ViT) reaches impressive accuracy on heavily occluded helmets (think construction workers hugging a beam).

The price is speed: ViT ambles along at about 10 FPS.

Swin Transformer fixes that with hierarchical feature maps, pushing 95 % mAP even when helmets are rotated or shot from odd angles, while DETR throws away the whole NMS post-processing step and still finds every helmet in a single pass—perfect for crowded bike lanes.

D. Diffusion Models

Diffusion networks treat an image like a noisy photograph and patiently "denoise" it back to clarity. Dark Diffusion (DiD) can rescue a helmet that is barely visible under 1 lux street-lighting, lifting detection accuracy to 93 %. The same trick works for occlusion: by gradually reconstructing missing pixels the model recognizes 85 % of helmets even when half the shell is hidden behind another rider. The catch is patience—each frame needs 3–5 seconds on a decent GPU—so for now diffusion acts as a specialist enhancer rather than the main detection engine.

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

4. HYBRID APPROACHES AND ENSEMBLE METHODS

A. CNN-YOLO Hybrid Systems

Some researchers have explored combining the strengths of CNNs with YOLO architectures to create hybrid systems. These approaches typically use CNNs for enhanced feature extraction while leveraging YOLO's efficient detection framework. Sanchana and Eliyas [3] proposed an automated motorcycle helmet detection system using a combination of YOLO and CNN. Their hybrid architecture aimed to improve feature learning and detection reliability in complex surroundings. While this approach improved accuracy, it required considerable computational resources, making deployment on edge devices challenging.

B. Multi-Task Learning Frameworks

Multi-task learning involves training a single model to perform multiple related tasks simultaneously. For helmet detection, this could include detecting helmets, identifying helmet types, recognizing faces, and extracting license plates. Mugesh et al. [6] introduced Fast YOLO Rec, a multi-task learning architecture for vehicle detection and tracking. Their approach extended helmet detection to include multiple traffic violations such as triple riding and lane deviation.

5. DATASETS & BENCHMARKS

A. Public Datasets for Helmet Detection

Table 6: Public Datasets

DATASET	IMAGES	CHALLENGES	USE CASE
Kaggle Helmet Dataset	10k	Limited diversity	Baseline testing
Motor cycle Helmet Dataset	5k	Focuses only on riders	Traffic surveillance
Workplace Safety Dataset	8k	Includes hard hats, construction scenes	Industrial safety
Custom(YOLOv11 Paper)	1k	Diverse helmets	Real-world testing

Sweeping the custom test set with a 97.2 % mAP@0.5, 98 % precision and a blistering 160 FPS on an NVIDIA T4, the proposed YOLOv11 simply leaves its cousins in the dust it is the only model that simultaneously cracks the 95 % accuracy barrier and keeps up with real-time traffic. Faster R-CNN does edge it out for raw precision (96 %, highest in the table), but at a pedestrian 5 FPS it is relegated to after-hours batch analysis rather than live enforcement. Swin Transformer strikes a middle ground (94.2 % mAP, 12 FPS) and shines on ultra-high-resolution footage, yet its heavy self-attention blocks make it a GPU hog.

B. Data Augmentation Strategies

Data augmentation artificially expands training datasets by applying various transformations to existing images. Common augmentation techniques for helmet detection include: Geometric transformations such as rotation, flipping, scaling, and cropping to simulate different viewing angles and distances Color space transformations including brightness adjustment, contrast enhancement, and hue variation to handle different lighting conditions; Occlusion simulation by adding artificial occlusions to teach models to detect partially visible helmets; and Mosaic

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

augmentation which combines multiple images to create complex scenes with multiple helmets at various scales.

C. Evaluation Metrics

Standard evaluation metrics for helmet detection systems include: Precision, which is the ratio of correctly detected helmets to all detected helmets, measuring false positive rate; Recall, the ratio of correctly detected helmets to all actual helmets, measuring false negative rate; F1-Score, the harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a balanced measure of performance; Mean Average Precision (mAP), the primary metric for object detection, calculated as the mean of Average Precision values across different Intersection over Union (IoU) thresholds; and Inference Time/FPS, which measures real-time processing capability and is critical for practical deployment.

Table 7: performance Benchmarks

MODEL	DATASET	PRECISION
YOLOv3	Kaggle	89%
YOLOv8	Workplace Safety	92%
Faster R-CNN	Motorcycle Helmet	96%
Swin Transformer	Custom	95%
YOLOv11 (Proposed)	Custom	98%

6. TECHNICAL CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

These issues are primarily related to computer vision performance in real-world scenarios:

Small Object Detection: Detecting helmets, especially when riders or workers are far from the cameras, which results in fewer pixels for the network to learn features. Performance degradation for distant objects persists despite modern architectural solutions.

Occlusion and Crowded Scenes: Helmets are frequently partially blocked by other objects, people, or structures in heavy traffic or construction sites. Distinguishing between compliant and non-compliant individuals is difficult when parts of the head are hidden. Complete occlusion remains a problematic scenario.

Lighting and Weather Conditions: Varying illumination, including shadows, glare, night-time conditions, fog, and rain, significantly degrades model accuracy.

Helmet Type Variability: Helmets come in various designs, colors, and styles (full-face, half-face, open-face, modular). Models may misclassify non-helmet head coverings like caps or hats as helmets, leading to false positives.

Real-Time Processing Constraints: Many deployment scenarios require high speed and achieving high accuracy while maintaining this speed on resource-constrained devices (like edge computing platforms) is challenging.

Computational Cost: Two-stage detectors like Faster R-CNN, while accurate, have a high computational cost. Hybrid CNN-YOLO systems also require considerable computational resources. Multi-task models demand significant computational power and specialized hardware.

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

Dataset Limitations: Publicly available datasets often have limitations such as class imbalance (more helmeted than non-helmeted examples) and insufficient diversity in helmet types, colors, and environmental conditions.

Generalization: Traditional approaches showed limited generalization across different scenarios.

7. APPLICATIONS AND DEPLOYMENT SCENARIOS

A. Traffic Law Enforcement

Automated helmet detection systems can be integrated with existing traffic surveillance infrastructure to monitor compliance on roads. When combined with license plate recognition, these systems can automatically generate violation notices, reducing the burden on traffic police and ensuring consistent enforcement across large areas.

Benefits include 24/7 monitoring capability, consistent enforcement without human bias, data collection for policy analysis, and reduced need for manual traffic stops. Challenges include handling high-speed vehicles, ensuring accuracy to prevent false violations, and integrating with existing law enforcement workflows.

B. Construction Site Safety Monitoring

In construction and industrial environments, ensuring workers wear appropriate safety equipment is critical for preventing injuries. Helmet detection systems can continuously monitor worksites, alerting supervisors when violations are detected. This enables proactive safety management and creates documentation for compliance verification.

Systems can be integrated with access control to prevent entry without proper equipment, integrated with worker identification for accountability, and used to generate safety compliance reports for regulatory requirements.

C. Smart City Surveillance

As cities become smarter with interconnected IoT devices and sensors, helmet detection becomes part of comprehensive urban safety monitoring systems. Integration with smart traffic lights, public announcement systems, and emergency response networks creates an ecosystem for enhancing overall urban safe.

D. Occupational Health and Safety Compliance

Beyond construction, industries such as mining, manufacturing, and oil & gas require helmet usage. Automated detection systems support compliance officers in monitoring large facilities, documenting violations for training purposes, and maintaining records for insurance and regulatory audits.

8. EMERGING TRENDS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

A. Transformer-Based Architectures

Vision transformers have recently shown impressive results in various computer vision tasks. DETR (Detection Transformer) and its variants apply transformer architectures directly to object detection, eliminating the need for hand-crafted components like non-maximum suppression.

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

Exploring transformer-based approaches for helmet detection could potentially improve performance, particularly for handling complex spatial relationships and long-range dependencies.

B. Edge Computing and Model Compression

Deploying deep learning models on edge devices enables distributed processing, reduced latency, and enhanced privacy by processing data locally. Techniques such as neural architecture search, knowledge distillation, and quantization-aware training can create lightweight models suitable for deployment on edge devices while maintaining acceptable accuracy.

C. Few-Shot and Zero-Shot Learning

Current helmet detection systems require substantial labelled training data. Few-shot learning techniques could enable training effective models with minimal examples, particularly useful for detecting rare helmet types or adapting to new environments with limited data. Zero-shot learning could potentially detect novel helmet types never seen during training.

D. Multi-Modal Sensing

Combining visual information with other modalities such as thermal imaging, depth sensing, or audio could improve detection robustness, particularly under challenging conditions. Multi-modal approaches might better handle night-time detection, severe weather, or highly occluded scenarios.

E. Explainable AI and Model Interpretability

As helmet detection systems make decisions that can affect people's lives through violations or access denial, understanding why models make specific predictions becomes important. Explainable AI techniques like Grad-CAM, attention visualization, and saliency maps can provide insights into model decision-making, building trust and facilitating debugging.Furthermore, these interpretability tools enable developers to identify and correct model biases, ensuring fair and equitable enforcement across diverse and environment.

F. Federated Learning for Privacy-Preserving Training

Federated learning allows training models across distributed datasets without centralizing sensitive data. For helmet detection in privacy-sensitive applications, federated learning could enable collaborative model improvement while respecting data sovereignty and privacy regulations.

G. Continual and Lifelong Learning

A significant limitation of current systems is "catastrophic forgetting," where a model trained on new data loses performance on previously learned tasks. Continual learning algorithms enable models to learn sequentially from new data streams—such as novel helmet designs, new vehicle types, or different environmental conditions—without requiring retraining from scratch. This approach utilizes techniques like elastic weight consolidation and experience replay to preserve previously acquired knowledge while adapting to new information. Such capabilities allow helmet detection systems to continuously adapt and improve over their operational lifetime, maintaining relevance and accuracy in dynamically changing real-world environments.

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

9. CONCLUSION

This comprehensive survey firmly establishes deep learning as the cornerstone of modern helmet detection systems, marking a definitive evolution from traditional computer vision to sophisticated neural architectures. The evidence clearly demonstrates that YOLO models consistently achieve the optimal balance between accuracy and real-time performance, making them the leading choice for practical deployment. Critical advancements like attention mechanisms and multi-scale feature extraction have proven essential for detecting small helmets and handling occlusions in complex environments, while transfer learning with pre-trained networks significantly enhances performance when training data is limited.

Despite these achievements, important challenges remain in small object detection, occlusion handling, and real-time processing on resource-constrained devices. The field now moves toward transformer-based architectures, edge computing optimization, and few-shot learning approaches that promise greater efficiency and adaptability. As the technology matures, its integration into comprehensive safety management systems will become standard practice across traffic enforcement and workplace safety domains. The research compiled here provides a solid foundation for advancing toward the goal: reducing preventable head injuries through reliable, automated enforcement of helmet safety regulations. This survey confirms that deep learning has not only transformed helmet detection capabilities but has set a clear trajectory for future innovations in public safety technology.

Ultimately, the trajectory of helmet detection technology is one of convergence—where accuracy, speed, and efficiency will no longer be trade-offs but unified objectives achieved through more intelligent and adaptive systems. The next frontier lies not only in refining individual algorithms but in architecting cohesive frameworks that seamlessly integrate detection, analysis, and response in real time. As these systems evolve, they will transcend their current role as mere monitoring tools to become proactive guardians of public safety, capable of anticipating risks and enforcing compliance with unprecedented precision. The findings of this survey not only encapsulate the current state of the art but also illuminate the path toward a future where technology and safety are inextricably linked, ensuring that the simple act of wearing a helmet is universally upheld as an non-negotiable standard of care.

10. AUTHOR(S) CONTRIBUTION

The writers affirm that they have no connections to, or engagement with, any group or body that provides financial or non-financial assistance for the topics or resources covered in this manuscript.

11. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Suman, Mala M V, Sowmya S (2025). *A Comprehensive Survey on Helmet Detection Techniques. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews, 4(4), 86-99.*

12. PLAGIARISM POLICY

All authors declare that any kind of violation of plagiarism, copyright and ethical matters will take care by all authors. Journal and editors are not liable for aforesaid matters.

13. SOURCES OF FUNDING

The authors received no financial aid to support for the research.

REFERENCES

[1] R. Patel, J. Patel, and R. Mistry, "Safety helmet detection using YOLO V8," in 2023 International Conference on Emerging Techniques in Computational Intelligence (ICETCI), March 2023, pp. xx-xx.

[2] T. Senthil Kumar, P. Deepa, and R. Prasad, "A practical approach for license plate recognition for non-helmeted motorcycle riders using YOLOv10," in 2024 IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Smart Applications (ISSA), January 2024, pp. xx-xx.

[3] M. A. Sanchana and S. Eliyas, "Automated motorcycle helmet detection using the combination of YOLO and CNN," in 2023 3rd International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE), April 2023, pp. 75-80.

[4] A. Vasanthakumar, R. Priya, and R. Kumaravel, "HDRT: Helmet detection system using convolutional neural network in real time," in 2022 International Conference on Smart Technologies and Systems for Next Generation Computing (ICSTSN), December 2022, pp. xx-xx.

[5] P. Gomathy, K. Saranya, and V. Devi, "Helmet detection and number plate recognition using YOLOv3 in real-time," in 2023 International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing (ICCSP), May 2023, pp. xx-xx.

[6] T. Mugesh, R. Balasubramanian, and M. Manikandan, "Multi-task learning architecture for vehicle detection and tracking towards passenger safety and traffic violations detection using pairing net and Fast YOLO Rec approach," in 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Communication and Networking Technologies (ICCCNT), February 2025, pp. xx-xx.

[7] L. Huang, Q. Fu, M. He, D. Jiang, and Z. Hao, "Detection algorithm of safety helmet wearing based on deep learning," Concurrency and Computation: Practice and Experience, vol. 33, no. 13, p. e6234, 2021.

[8] Y. Zhang, F. Xiao, and Z. Lu, "Helmet wearing state detection based on improved YOLOv5s," Sensors, vol. 22, no. 24, p. 9843, 2022.

[9] P. Jin, H. Li, W. Yan, and J. Xu, "YOLO-ESCA: A high-performance safety helmet standard wearing behavior detection model based on improved YOLOv5," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 45623-45637, 2024.

[10] L. Wei, P. Liu, H. Ren, and D. Xiao, "Research on helmet wearing detection method based on deep learning," Scientific Reports, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 7010, 2024.

[11] W. Liu, D. Anguelov, D. Erhan, C. Szegedy, S. Reed, C.-Y. Fu, and A. C. Berg, "SSD: Single shot multibox detector," in European Conference on Computer Vision, Springer, 2016, pp. 21-37.

[12] W. Liu, T. Wen, Z. Duan, Y. Chen, L. Zhang, and C. Chen, "SD-YOLOv5: A rapid detection method for personal protective equipment on construction sites," Frontiers in Built Environment, vol. 11, 2025.

[13] N. D. Nath, A. H. Behzadan, and S. G. Paal, "Deep learning for site safety: Real-time detection of personal protective equipment," Automation in Construction, vol. 112, p. 103085, 2020.

[14] S. Ren, K. He, R. Girshick, and J. Sun, "Faster R-CNN: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks," IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1137-1149, 2017.

[15] M. Yang, F. Rao, L. Wang, M. Bai, and X. Zang, "A safety helmet detection method using adjusted YOLOv8," Advances in Computer, Signals and Systems, vol. 8, pp. 6-11, 2024.

[16] B. Lin, "Safety helmet detection based on improved YOLOv8," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 78945-78956, 2024.

[17] H. Han, G. Huang, Z. Zhao, and H. Gao, "Method based on the cross-layer attention mechanism and multiscale perception for safety helmet-wearing detection," Computers and Electrical Engineering, vol. 95, p. 107458, 2021.

[18] S. Chen, W. Tang, T. Ji, H. Zhu, W. Ouyang, and W. Wang, "Detection of safety helmet wearing based on improved Faster R-CNN," in 2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), IEEE, 2020, pp. 1-7.

[19] X. Gao, M. Jian, M. Hu, M. Tamiru, and S. Li, "Faster multi-defect detection system in shield tunnel using combination of FCN and Faster RCNN," Advances in Structural Engineering, vol. 22, no. 14, pp. 2907-2921, 2019.

[20] L. Wang, J. Zhao, S. Liu, Y. Li, S. Chen, and Y. Lan, "Investigation into recognition algorithm of helmet violation based on YOLOv5-CBAM-DCN," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 60622-60632, 2022.