

Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH & REVIEWS

journal homepage: www.ijmrr.online/index.php/home

INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS OF PANCHAYATI RAJ INSTITUTIONS IN STRENGTHENING LOCAL DEMOCRACY IN INDIA

Jiarul Miah¹ & Ashok Sarkar²

¹Ph.D. Research Scholar, Cooch Behar Panchanan Barma University, Department of Political Science, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India.

²Guest Teacher (Political Science), Bhowrthana High school (H.S), Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India.

How to Cite the Article: Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.



<https://doi.org/10.56815/ijmrr.v4i4.2025.288-296>

Keywords

Panchayati Raj Institutions, Local Democracy, Democratic Decentralization, Grassroots Governance, Participatory Governance, Institutional Effectiveness, Rural Development.

Abstract

Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) have become the symbol of democratic decentralization and people's participatory governance in India following the arrival of the 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992. Providing constitutional recognition to local self-government was an initiative to promote participatory democracy, equality and broad-based inclusive development through elected local institutions. Since then, for over three decades, Panchayats have been instrumental in increasing people's participation; enhancing representation of women and weaker sections of society; and facilitating more inclusive development initiatives, and greater effectiveness of various rural development schemes. However, the institutional success or failure of PRIs in strengthening local democracy has been inconsistent and spotty in terms of its overall institutional capacity, devolution of powers and authority, financial



[The work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution
Non Commercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/)

Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

	independence, bureaucratic control, political interference, as well citizen involvement. This paper provides a qualitative analysis of the institutional strength of Panchayati Raj Institutions in strengthening people's local democracy in India, using secondary data such as constitutional provisions, government reports and scholars' writings. The paper assesses the performance and failures of Panchayati Raj Institutions in consolidating democratic governance, transparency, accountability and inclusive development. The paper suggests that the potential of PRIs can be harnessed for democratization and development in India only if Gram Sabhas become more participative and powerful, devolution is well-defined and functionaries are accountable and accountable.
--	--

1. Introduction:

Meaningful democracy cannot be a limited sphere of democracy that occurs merely once in five years through the mechanism of electoral participation. It is about people's participation in the governance process itself. Local democracy allows for such people's participation through decentralized, direct decision making, responsive and accountable public services and development programs. In a country like India where 63% of the population lives in rural areas and 55% is illiterate, local governance is a prerequisite for inclusive development and reinforcing democratic spirit. Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) are the most important institution for the realization of democratic decentralization and to empower local communities in the country. But the Panchayati Raj is rooted in Mahatma Gandhi's Gram Swaraj that aimed at village republics to provide a new framework of an integrated and participatory democracy. Article 40 of the Constitution sought to transfer development effort to self-governing village communities by obligating the State to organize panchayats. But, for several decades after the independence local bodies remained frail, de-linked from the people and totally dependent on the State government. To strengthen local self-government through democratic decentralization some commissions viz., Balwant Rai Mehta Committee (1957), Ashok Mehta Committee (1978), G.V.K. Rao Committee (1985) and L.M. Singhvi Committee (1986).

A major turning point came with the 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992 which came into force on 24 April 1993, and honestly it kind of set things in motion. Through this amendment, constitutional status was granted to Panchayati Raj Institutions and a sort of three tier setup was introduced, made up of Gram Panchayats, Panchayat Samitis, and Zila Parishads. It also ensured that elections happened regularly, that reservations would be made for Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and women. Alongside this, it led to the establishment of State Finance Commissions and State Election Commissions, and then it recognized the Gram Sabha as the base of participatory democracy. In the end, these changes



Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

were meant to decentralize political power, strengthen service delivery and boost citizen's involvement in governance, so decisions feel less distant and more local.

Today, India has over 2.5 lakh Gram Panchayats and almost three million elected representatives, so the Panchayati Raj system feels like one of the biggest democratic experiments happening across the world (Ministry of Panchayati Raj, 2024). The reservation policy has, in a very real way, boosted the visibility of women and marginalized communities, which helps bring about political participation and social justice, at least in principle. PRIs also take part in implementing rural development programmes, setting up local development roadmaps, and carrying out essential public services, day to day.

Even with these achievements, the institutional effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions still feels, uneven across different states. In many Panchayats, there are persistent huddles like not enough money in hand, too little administrative freedom, a shortage of trained staff, political interference at times and rather weak participation when it comes to Gram Sabha meetings. Some scholars say that constitutional clauses by themselves cannot strengthen local democracy, unless real authority, finances, and day to day administrative functions are properly pushed down to local governments. So, taking a close look at how effective PRIs are as institutions becomes really important, it helps in grasping what they actually add to democratic governance and rural development in modern India.

2. Literature Review:

The institutional development of Panchayati Raj Institutions has attracted significant attention from Indian scholars, particularly after the constitutional reforms of 1992. Existing literature generally recognizes PRIs as important instruments of democratic decentralization while also highlighting the challenges affecting their performance.

George Mathew (1994, 2000) argues that the 73rd Constitutional Amendment more or less changed Panchayati Raj Institutions into constitutionally protected democratic entities, and in this way also reinforced grass roots participation in governance. For Mathew, the Gram Sabha is basically the base of participatory democracy, because it allows citizens to get involved directly in devising, tracking and reviewing local development programmes. Still, he keeps stressing that PRIs will work only if there is real political will, proper devolution of powers, plus enough institutional capacity.

Prabhat Datta (1997) shows Panchayati Raj as a key way of democratizing rural governance. He says that even though electoral decentralization has been put in place, functional decentralization and financial decentralization are still sort of incomplete in quite a few states. So Panchayats end up relying a lot on state governments for funds and for administrative choices too, which then trims their autonomy and makes them less effective.



Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

M. A. Oommen (2004) highlights how fiscal decentralization helps, in a real way, to bolster local democracy. He argues that democratic institutions basically don't work all that well unless they have enough financial resources and he recommends a much better roll out of State Finance Commission recommendations, to improve the financial autonomy of Panchayats.

B. S. Baviskar and George Mathew (2009) observe that Panchayati Raj Institutions have increased political participation quite a bit, especially among women, Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. However, even with that, they also point out a set of persistent problems—elite domination, bureaucratic control, weak administrative capacity and limited public participation, which still end up constraining democratic governance at the grassroots.

Similarly, S. Mahendra Dev (2016) sort of emphasizes that effective local governance contributes to inclusive development, poverty reduction and better public service delivery. However, he also notes that disparities in institutional capacity across states create uneven developmental outcomes, and you can see it in practice.

Overall, the literature suggests that Panchayati Raj Institutions have strengthened local democracy by promoting political participation, social inclusion and decentralized governance. At the same time, scholars consistently argue that institutional reforms, fiscal empowerment, capacity building and greater citizen participation are essential for improving the effectiveness of PRIs and realizing the constitutional vision of democratic decentralization.

2. Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy

2.1 Promotion of Participatory Democracy:

The most important contribution of Panchayati Raj Institutions, PRIs, is the promotion of participatory democracy, and yes it all kind of starts there. Through the Gram Sabha, citizens get this sort of institutional room where they can talk about local matters, approve development blueprints, keep an eye on public spending and also judge how well government programmes are being carried out. This immediate involvement boosts transparency, improves responsiveness and supports democratic accountability too. Still, irregular meeting schedules, low presence and limited awareness continue to weaken the impact of Gram Sabhas in several states (Mathew, 2000).

2.2 Democratic Decentralization:

The 73rd Constitutional Amendment Act, 1992 sort of institutionalized democratic decentralization by moving governance responsibilities to locally elected bodies. In practice



Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

PRIs have made decision-making closer to rural communities, so that local governments can identify and tackle development needs that are area-specific. Still, the level of devolution of powers is not the same everywhere across states, which leads to different institutional outcomes, (Datta 1997).

2.3 Political Inclusion and Social Justice:

The reservation of seats for Scheduled Castes (SCs), Scheduled Tribes (STs) and women has really broadened political participation at the grassroots, in a kind of noticeable way. Because of these constitutional provisions, the representation of historically marginalized groups in local governance has gone up and it has also helped with broader social inclusion. But still, problems like proxy leadership, elite domination and caste based discrimination are still there and they can affect how meaningful the democratic participation actually is (Baviskar & Mathew, 2009).

2.4 Administrative Effectiveness:

PRIs have improved the way rural governance works, by doing a bunch of development programmes around sanitation, housing, education, healthcare, drinking water and rural infrastructure. Being close to local communities means they can spot who actually needs help more accurately and they can also carry out welfare schemes in a more effective manner. Still, there are some issues, like not enough administrative staff, a shortage of technical know-how and bureaucratic interference that often keeps the institutions from functioning at full capacity.

2.5 Fiscal Decentralization:

Financial autonomy, it seems, stays one of the more important yardsticks for institutional effectiveness. Even if State Finance Commissions are constitutionally tasked to advise on financial devolution, many Panchayats still end up relying a lot on grants coming from state and central governments. Because own source revenue is limited, their capacity for separate developmental actions becomes shaky and this also tends to erode local self governance in real terms (Oommen, 2004).

2.6 Transparency and Accountability:

Institutional effectiveness kind of gets strengthened by transparency and accountability measures like social audits, Gram Sabha oversight, showing public disclosure of financial records and also the Right to Information (RTI) provisions. More recently, digital initiatives—such as e-Gram Swaraj, online accounting systems and electronic monitoring—have further pushed transparency in Panchayat administration. Still, uneven digital



Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

infrastructure and not enough technical capacity, continue to affect how well these things actually get implemented.

2.7 Women's Political Empowerment:

One of the most remarkable achievements that come out of Panchayati Raj Institutions has been the political empowerment of women and honestly it's something notable. Constitutional reservation has substantially increased women's participation in local governance and it has also pushed attention toward things like maternal health, schooling, sanitation, safe drinking water and social welfare. Still, even with that progress there are problems lingering around, such as patriarchal attitudes, weak leadership training and proxy representation, which together keep blocking real empowerment.

2.8 Challenges Affecting Institutional Effectiveness

Even with constitutional safeguards in place, a number of institutional challenges keep somehow tightening the grip on how effective PRIs can really be. For example, there can be inadequate devolution of functions, finances and functionaries too; plus an excessive level of bureaucratic control, and then there is the usual political interference, which tends to blur responsibilities. On top of that, capacity-building is often not strong enough and Gram Sabha participation stays weak in many areas. Also, governance doesn't look even everywhere, so you get regional disparities that linger. To deal with all this, you usually need a set of comprehensive institutional reforms, more fiscal autonomy, regular training programmes and clearer accountability mechanisms.

The Panchayati Raj system has significantly contributed to strengthening local democracy by promoting participatory governance, political inclusion, decentralized planning and rural development. Nevertheless, the constitutional vision of democratic decentralization can be fully realized only when Panchayati Raj Institutions possess adequate financial resources, administrative authority, skilled human resources and active citizen participation. Strengthening these institutional dimensions will enhance the effectiveness of PRIs as democratic institutions capable of delivering inclusive and accountable governance.

3. Challenges to the Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions

Even though Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) have a constitutional standing, a bunch of issues still kind hold back their real institutional effectiveness. In many states, the transfer of functions, money, and personnel is still not fully done, so Panchayats don't really get enough freedom to plan and then put local development programmes into action. As a result PRIs can end up staying financially dependent on higher levels of government and operating with only limited administrative power. Manor (1999) basically says democratic decentralization will work only if local governments have real political, administrative and fiscal autonomy.



Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

Then again, there is more—like weak institutional capacity, constant bureaucratic interference, political factionalism and social inequalities that continue to erode grassroots democracy. A lot of elected representatives do not get proper training for governance and financial management and at the same time caste based discrimination, plus elite domination, usually blocks people from participating in any meaningful way. According to Jayal (2006) democratic representation by itself is meaningless without substantive empowerment and institutional reforms. Heller (2001) on the other hand, says effective local governance encompasses accountable institutions, intense involvement of the citizens and effective bureaucracy to enhance the process of decentralized democracy.

4. Policy Recommendations

4.1 Strengthen Administrative and Fiscal Decentralization:

The effective transfer of the three Fs functions, finances and functionaries should be ensured across all states. More financial autonomy, plus some administrative authority would help Panchayats to respond kind of faster to local developmental needs. Also, by strengthening fiscal decentralization you end up with less dependence on higher levels of government and it can improve institutional accountability.

4.2 Enhance Capacity Building:

Ongoing training programmes ought to be lined up for elected members and Panchayat officials, on public administration, financial management, participatory planning, digital governance and also leadership development. The idea is that by strengthening institutional ability, the quality of local choices and how programmes are actually executed, will go up.

4.3 Promote Participatory Planning:

Citizens should be kept actively involved, via regular Gram Sabha meets, plus social audits and also through community consults, sort of like a continuous back and forth. T. M. Thomas Isaac and Franke, (2000) show in the context of Kerala's People's Planning Campaign that participatory planning, improves transparency, strengthens accountability and also deepens local ownership over development programmes, in a very practical way.

4.4 Strengthen Inclusive Governance:

Policies should, in my view, focus on bolstering the real substantive involvement of women, Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and other marginalized groups through leadership training, awareness programmes and institutional safeguards, not just symbolic steps. When governance is inclusive it tends to strengthen democratic legitimacy and it also helps steer rural development in a more equitable way.



Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

4.5 Encourage Evidence-Based Local Development:

Local planning should really rely on solid socio-economic facts, plus digital governance instruments and some kind of performance tracking systems too. As Drèze and Sen (2002) note, democratic institutions tend to work better when development policies are grounded in transparency, public involvement and social responsibility in a practical sense.

5. Conclusion:

Panchayati Raj Institutions have, in a way, really reshaped India's local governance system by making democratic decentralization more "real" and by giving more chances to regular citizens to take part. The constitutional reforms helped strengthen political representation, nudged participatory governance forward and also supported better rural development through decentralized decision-making. Still, even with all that, how well PRIs work on the ground seems limited because powers aren't being devolved enough, institutions often lack capacity, money keeps being depended on from outside, bureaucracy stays too controlling and old social inequalities keep showing up again and again.

So, strengthening local democracy is not just about constitutional promises alone. It really needs genuine administrative autonomy plus enough financial resources, local leadership that is trained well, participatory tools that actually function and accountability systems that are strong and clear. There are also lessons from decentralized governance models that have worked elsewhere, showing that when local institutions are truly empowered, they can deliver governance that is more responsive and more inclusive. Therefore, long term institutional reforms, together with active involvement by citizens and supportive state policies, are basically required so Panchayati Raj Institutions can meet their constitutional duties and also deepen democratic governance across rural India.

AUTHOR(S) CONTRIBUTION

The writers affirm that they have no connections to, or engagement with, any group or body that provides financial or non-financial assistance for the topics or resources covered in this manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

PLAGIARISM POLICY

All authors declare that any kind of violation of plagiarism, copyright and ethical matters will take care by all authors. Journal and editors are not liable for aforesaid matters.



Jiarul Miah & Ashok Sarkar (2025). *Institutional Effectiveness of Panchayati Raj Institutions in Strengthening Local Democracy in India*. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Reviews. 4(4). 288-296.

SOURCES OF FUNDING

The authors received no financial aid to support for the research.

REFERENCES

- Alagh, Y. K. (2005). Panchayats and rural development. Academic Foundation.
- Baviskar, B. S., & Mathew, G. (Eds.). (2009). Inclusion and exclusion in local governance: Field studies from rural India. Sage Publications.
- Baviskar, B. S., & Mathew, G. (Eds.). (2009). Inclusion and exclusion in local governance: Field studies from rural India. Sage Publications.
- Datta, P. (1997). Democratic decentralisation and Panchayati Raj in India. Kanishka Publishers.
- Datta, P. (1997). Democratic decentralisation and Panchayati Raj in India. Kanishka Publishers.
- Dev, S. M. (2016). India Development Report 2015. Oxford University Press.
- Drèze, J., & Sen, A. (2002). India: Development and participation. Oxford University Press.
- Government of India. (1992). The Constitution (Seventy-Third Amendment) Act, 1992. Ministry of Law and Justice.
- Heller, P. (2001). Moving the state: The politics of democratic decentralization in Kerala, South Africa, and Porto Alegre. *Politics & Society*, 29(1), 131–163.
- Isaac, T. M. T., & Franke, R. W. (2000). Local democracy and development: People's campaign for decentralised planning in Kerala. LeftWord Books.
- Jayal, N. G. (2006). Representing India: Ethnic diversity and the governance of public institutions. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Manor, J. (1999). The political economy of democratic decentralization. World Bank.
- Mathew, G. (Ed.). (1994). Panchayati Raj: From legislation to movement. Concept Publishing Company.
- Mathew, G. (Ed.). (1994). Panchayati Raj: From legislation to movement. Concept Publishing Company.
- Mathew, G. (Ed.). (2000). Status of Panchayati Raj in the States and Union Territories of India. Institute of Social Sciences.
- Ministry of Panchayati Raj. (2024). Annual Report 2023–24. Government of India. <https://panchayat.gov.in>
- Oommen, M. A. (2004). Panchayats and their finance. Institute of Social Sciences.
- Second Administrative Reforms Commission. (2007). Sixth report: Local governance—An inspiring journey into the future. Government of India.

